S-1396.1			

SENATE BILL 5886

State of Washington

57th Legislature

2001 Regular Session

By Senators Long and Hargrove

Read first time 02/07/2001. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the number of experts or professional persons
- 2 who must examine a person for the state under chapter 10.77 RCW;
- 3 amending RCW 10.77.060; and creating a new section.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that when experts or
- 6 professional persons for the state examine a defendant under chapter
- 7 10.77 RCW, the use of multiple evaluators rarely results in differing
- 8 reports. The legislature also finds that the requirement of at least
- 9 two experts or professional persons may place a barrier to conducting
- 10 evaluations in local jails in some jurisdictions because of the strain
- 11 it places on staffing resources at the state hospital. The legislature
- 12 further finds that when evaluations cannot be done in the local jail
- 13 there is additional pressure placed on state hospital capacity and less
- 14 time to restore competency. Consequently, the legislature intends to
- 15 remove the double staffing barrier in those cases where the parties
- 16 agree that the state needs only one evaluator.
- 17 Sec. 2. RCW 10.77.060 and 2000 c 74 s 1 are each amended to read
- 18 as follows:

p. 1 SB 5886

(1)(a) Whenever a defendant has pleaded not guilty by reason of 1 2 insanity, or there is reason to doubt his or her competency, the court 3 on its own motion or on the motion of any party shall either appoint or 4 request the secretary to designate at least two qualified experts or 5 professional persons, one of whom shall be approved by the prosecuting attorney, to examine and report upon the mental condition of the 6 7 defendant. Upon agreement of the parties, the court may designate one 8 expert or professional person to conduct the examination and report on 9 the mental condition of the defendant. At least one of the experts or 10 professional persons appointed shall be a developmental disabilities professional if the court is advised by any party that the defendant 11 may be developmentally disabled. For purposes of the examination, the 12 13 court may order the defendant committed to a hospital or other suitably secure public or private mental health facility for a period of time 14 15 necessary to complete the examination, but not to exceed fifteen days 16 from the time of admission to the facility.

- (b) When a defendant is ordered to be committed for inpatient examination under this subsection (1), the court may delay granting bail until the defendant has been evaluated for competency or sanity and appears before the court. Following the evaluation, in determining bail the court shall consider: (i) Recommendations of the expert or professional persons regarding the defendant's competency, sanity, or diminished capacity; (ii) whether the defendant has a recent history of one or more violent acts; (iii) whether the defendant has previously been acquitted by reason of insanity or found incompetent; (iv) whether it is reasonably likely the defendant will fail to appear for a future court hearing; and (v) whether the defendant is a threat to public safety.
- 29 (2) The court may direct that a qualified expert or professional 30 person retained by or appointed for the defendant be permitted to witness the examination authorized by subsection (1) of this section, 31 and that the defendant shall have access to all information obtained by 32 33 the court appointed experts or professional persons. The defendant's 34 expert or professional person shall have the right to file his or her 35 own report following the guidelines of subsection (3) of this section. If the defendant is indigent, the court shall upon the request of the 36 37 defendant assist him or her in obtaining an expert or professional 38 person.
 - (3) The report of the examination shall include the following:

SB 5886 p. 2

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

39

1 (a) A description of the nature of the examination;

2

- (b) A diagnosis of the mental condition of the defendant;
- 3 (c) If the defendant suffers from a mental disease or defect, or is 4 developmentally disabled, an opinion as to competency;
- 5 (d) If the defendant has indicated his or her intention to rely on 6 the defense of insanity pursuant to RCW 10.77.030, an opinion as to the 7 defendant's sanity at the time of the act;
- 8 (e) When directed by the court, an opinion as to the capacity of 9 the defendant to have a particular state of mind which is an element of 10 the offense charged;
- (f) An opinion as to whether the defendant should be evaluated by a county designated mental health professional under chapter 71.05 RCW, and an opinion as to whether the defendant is a substantial danger to other persons, or presents a substantial likelihood of committing criminal acts jeopardizing public safety or security, unless kept under further control by the court or other persons or institutions.
- 17 (4) The secretary may execute such agreements as appropriate and 18 necessary to implement this section.

--- END ---

p. 3 SB 5886